



Gov. Brown shouldn't balance the state budget on the backs of California's most vulnerable citizens by eliminating public redevelopment agencies

Funds for projects are needed more than ever as recession hits vulnerable communities the hardest

Published March 27, 2011, 11:53 pm in [Opinion](#)

By **Alvaro Huerta**

As an urban planning scholar who grew up in a blighted area, East Los Angeles' Ramona Gardens housing project, I am against Gov. Jerry Brown's plan to eliminate the California Redevelopment Agency and raid its coffers. If Brown prevails, we will also see the demise of Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles.

Given that Brown is desperately attempting to clean up former Gov. Arnold Schwarzenegger's mess, where the action hero relied on massive borrowing and other chicanery to balance the budget, I don't blame the new governor for seeking creative ways to save California from financial bankruptcy.

The state budget, however, should not be balanced on the backs of the less fortunate – those who directly benefit from public redevelopment agencies to revitalize their communities. This includes racial minorities, immigrants and other working class people who live in blighted communities in desperate need of urban redevelopment efforts.

In general terms, public redevelopment agencies exist to meet the needs of the public where the private markets fail. While individual entrepreneurs and corporations respond to profits and the bottom line, public redevelopment officials and agencies, in theory, respond to the public interest. Since it's not in the self-interest of private-market actors to create public parks, community facilities and affordable housing units in America's barrios and ghettos, there's a great need for redevelopment agencies to meet the public's needs.

As public goods, for example, parks can't exclude individuals from enjoying all the benefits that green open spaces have to offer.

Thus, if not for public redevelopment agencies, we would not have the pleasures of spending a Saturday morning at the park without worrying about spending \$20 for parking and forking out an exaggerated entrance fee for entertainment activities, especially during this Great Recession.

By simply viewing the Community Redevelopment website, Angelenos can learn more about this local agency and its multi-million dollar projects in the areas of affordable housing, mixed-income housing, commercial/retail, industrial, community facility, open space/parks, public facilities and public improvement projects.

These projects represent key economic activity for Los Angeles in terms of creating jobs, luring businesses, promoting tourism and improving the built environment.

However, if the agency aims to get the public in its corner for this important fight, its leaders (and City Hall) need to do a better job of incorporating the general public in all areas of its operations and programs. For instance, in order to get community members to buy into the mission of the agency, redevelopment officials and commissioners should hold town hall meetings in underserved communities, such as East Los Angeles, South Central Los Angeles and the valley. These meetings should occur on weekends in places where community members can easily access them, like public schools, libraries and community centers.

Not only should translation catering to the specific ethnic area be available, public meetings should also be conducted in a language accessible to average community members and not simply to special interests, such as lobbyists, contractors and lawyers.

Overall, there should be a bottom-up approach to planning at such agencies, where impacted community members play a major role in identifying the problems in their neighborhoods and participate in the overall process of designing livable communities without displacing the most vulnerable populations.

This includes having community members serve as commissioners. On a related point, I must say that the recent appointment of civil rights activist and lawyer Victor Narro, as a new California Redevelopment Agency Commissioner, represents a positive and progressive move on Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa's behalf.

Too often, unfortunately, the improvement of blighted communities translates into gentrification, where outsiders displace long-term residents, especially in areas where Latinos and African Americans reside.

This needs to stop. We should find creative ways to improve the physical environment in poor communities without forcing out those individuals and families with the least resources to relocate.

In order to fully support the numerous redevelopment agencies against Brown's plan – with an estimated \$5.5 billion dollars in potential revenue

for the state at stake – we need to learn hard lessons from the dark history of controversial redevelopment projects.

As part of the urban renewal movement of the last century, for example, public officials and private interests colluded to displace a vibrant Latino community, Chavez Ravine, in order to build a baseball stadium in 1959 and bring a Major League Baseball team to Los Angeles: the Dodgers.

Actually, we don't need to go back that far to uncover the special interests behind many of these lucrative deals at the expense of the powerless. On a smaller scale, public officials and private interests colluded, once again, to displace hundreds of Latino residents from their apartments in downtown Los Angeles to build the Staples Center in 1998 for one of Los Angeles' major-league basketball teams: the Lakers.

And let's not forget the Clippers and Kings. That being said, at a time when Angelenos need more resources to rebound from this economic downturn, we need to rally behind such agencies to keep much needed redevelopment funds and projects in the nation's second largest city. The fact that Latinos constitute half of Los Angeles' population also makes this a civil rights issue.

In short, the public should fully support redevelopment agencies at the local and statewide level under the condition that those in power serve in the public interest, instead of the status quo.

Huerta is a doctoral candidate at UC Berkeley and a visiting scholar in the Chicano/a Studies department at UCLA.

Join the discussion

You Should Know: Any comments posted on dailybruin.com may be printed in the Daily Bruin. the Daily Bruin reserves the right to remove any comment deemed racially derogatory, inflammatory, or spammatory. Repeat offenders may have their IP address banned from posting future comments. Please be nice.

If this is the first time you've commented, your comment won't appear until you've verified your email address.

<input type="text" value="Your Name"/>	
<input type="text" value="Your Email (won't be p"/>	
 Type the two words: <input type="text"/>	<input type="text" value="Your Comment"/>
	Formatting Options: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Links: "my link":http://my.url.com• Bold: *something!*• Italic: _OMG!_
<input type="button" value="Add Comment"/>	

2 comments

If the RDA funds are not saved, the funds will either directly or indirectly, end-up in an offshore bank account in the Cayman Islands owned by a bunch of Goldman Sachs/Wall Street scumbags.

— 2:38 AM March 28, 2011, by **Earl Richards**

[Flag this comment](#)

Who cares about low income housing when the middle class can hardly hold on to their own homes. Let's replace the brown leeches with brown people that add value. You know it I'm talking about Indians from India. Think about it.

— 3:11 PM March 28, 2011, by **Vishak**

[Flag this comment](#)
